1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LATACHIANNA JAMES,	Case No. 2:24-cv-00880-DAD-JDP (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER
13	v.	VACATING THE JUNE 13, 2024 HEARING
14	UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	THAT THIS ACTION BE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION, FAILURE TO
1617		COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS, AND FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL RULES
18 19		OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS
20	Defendant U.S. Postal Service filed a motion to dismiss, which is currently set for hearing	
21	on June 13, 2024. ECF Nos. 5 & 11. After plaintiff failed to timely respond to that motion, I	
22	continued the hearing on defendant's motion and ordered plaintiff to show cause why sanctions	
23	should not be imposed for her failure to comply with the court's local rules. ECF No. 9. I also	
24	ordered plaintiff file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendant's motion by no	
25	later than May 16, 2024. <i>Id.</i> I warned plaintiff that failure to comply with that order could result	
26	in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for lack of prosecution, failure to comply with	
27	court orders, and failure to comply with local rules. <i>Id</i> .	
28	1	
		1

Case 2:24-cv-00880-DAD-JDP Document 13 Filed 05/29/24 Page 2 of 2

The deadline has passed, and plaintiff has neither filed an opposition to defendant's motion nor otherwise responded to the April 30, 2024 order. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the June 13, 2024 hearing on defendant's motion to dismiss is vacated. Further, it is RECOMMENDED that: 1. This action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with the court's local rules, and failure to comply with court orders. 2. Defendant's motion to dismiss, ECF No. 5, be denied as moot.

,

3. The Clerk of Court be directed to close the case.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>May 28, 2024</u>

JEREMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE